CAUSE NO. <u>2012CVT001</u>448 D2

GERRY GARCIA,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT							
PLAINTIFF		+A							
	_	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11							
VS.	§	III JUDICIAL DISTRICE							
		8 9							
City of Laredo	§	OF WEBB COUNT& TEXAS ZEE							
And CARLOS SANMIGUEL,									
Defendants									
PLAINTIFF'S ORGINAL PETITION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT									
		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \							

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Gerry Garcia, Plaintiff in this action complains of the City of Laredo, and Carlos "Charlie"
San Miguel, Defendants in this action, and for cause of action shows the following:

I.

Discovery Plan

Discovery in this cause is to be conducted under Level I – T.R.C.P. Rule 190.4.

II.

Parties

Plaintiff is an individual, residing in Laredo Webb County, Texas.

Defendant is a Home Rule Incorporated Municipality situated in Webb County, Texas.

Defendant, City of Laredo maybe served with process by serving the City Secretary, Gustavo Guevara at 1100 Houston St. in Laredo, Texas. Defendant, Carlos "Charlie" San Miguel is an individual and maybe served with process at 1100 Houston St. in Laredo, Texas.

III.

Venue is proper in this Court because the occurrence sued on occurred at in this Webb County.

Carlos San Miguel is a duly elected Council member for the City of Laredo, and sits as an active member of the Laredo City Council.

All elected officials are governed by a duly adopted City Charter that was revised on November, 2010.

Two of Defendant's sons are within Defendant, Carlos San Miguel's first degree of consanguinity and are related to him.

V.

When the two sons, Carlos and Roberto San Miguel were admitted and hired to attend the firefighters' academy, Carlos San Miguel was a City Council Member.

The Mayor, Raul Salinas City Manager, Carlos Villarreal, City of Laredo, Fire Chief, Steve Landin and City Attorney, Raul Casso were all aware of these facts. Plaintiff states that this is typical conduct of the "Patron" System that has continued to be pervasive of the City of Laredo, political and governing system.

VI.

Plaintiff states that the Section 12.04 of the Nepotism Law of the City of Laredo Charter has been violated. All of the persons and governing body have violated this section, as well by act(s) of omission on behalf of all of the above persons named above.

In this regard, Plaintiff is requesting that both sons Roberto and Carlos San Miguel be dismissed "instanter" from further training, all monies paid to them be reimbursed to the City of Laredo, Council Member Carlos San Miguel be removed from Office for violation of the Nepotism Law and all the appropriate mentioned City Officials be removed from Office for being derelict in performing their Official duties.

Plaintiff had to retain the services of Sergio Martinez, a licensed Attorney, as it was necessary to prosecute this suit and is requesting customary and reasonable, attorney's fees and costs of suit be granted.

Wherefore Premises Considered Plaintiff prays that after notice and hearing all relief as requested herein; reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sergio Martinez

Laredo, Texas 78040

(956)771-0881 S.B.N. 13144550



MONICA Z. NOTZON

ABEL SOLIZ
CIVIL COURT COORDINATOR
(956) 523-4226

JUDGE, 111th DISTRICT COURT

1110 VICTORIA SUITE #301 3RD FLOOR
LAREDO, TEXAS 78040

OFFICE (956) 523-4230

FAX (956) 523-5088

FERNANDO RAMOS

BAILIFF

(956) 523-4227

CAUSE NO:

2012CVT001448D2

STYLE:

GERRY GARCIA

VS

CITY OF LAREDO AND CARLOS SANMIGUE

NOTICE OF CALENDAR CALL

Please take notice that this case is set for Calendar Call on the 23RD day of JANUARY, 2013 at 11:00 a.m. at the 111th District Court, 3rd Floor, Webb County Justice Center.

All Calendar Call hearings will be in open Court and on the record before the Honorable Judge Monica Z. Notzon. Your presence is MANDATORY unless Counsel for Plaintiff(s) and Defendant(s) have in place a pre-trial guideline order with both Counsel and Judge's signatures prior to calendar call date. This guideline order should have all appropriate dates including pre-trial jury selection and all deadlines.

Counsel for Plaintiff(s) please note that if you do not appear for calendar call your case may be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

Counsel for Defendant(s) please note that if you do not appear for calendar call, a pre-trial guideline order may be entered with or without your approval and/or signature.

If there are any questions regarding this matter please feel free to call our office at any time.

Abel Soliz

Civil Court Coordinator 111th District Court CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):

STYLED STYLED (e.g., John Smith v. All American Indicance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the time of filing. This sheet, approved by the Texas Judicial Council, is intended to collect information that will be used for statistical purposes only. It neither replaces nor supplements the filings or service of pleading or other documents as required by law or rule. The sheet does not constitute a discovery request, response, or supplementation, and it is not admissible at trial.

	supprementation, and it is not aun		T					
	1. Contact information for person completing case information sheet:		Names of parties in case:		Person or entity completing sheet is: Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner			
	Name:	ergio Martine 2 Idress Telephone: P.D. Box 6227 956 77140881		Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s): Clary Cource VS Defendant(s)/Respondent(s):		☐ Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner ☐ Title IV-D Agency		
	SergioMaitine						Other:	
	Address							
١	P.O. Box 672					Additional Parties in Child Support Case: Custodial Parent:		
1	/							
	City/State/Zip: Fax:			Cilattando				
	160 1/80	Signature: State Bar No:		Maple Sa		Non-Custodial Parent: Presumed Father:		
	Signature:							
- 1	Lengi Web	10144750		[Attach additional page as necessary to list all parties]		 		
J	2 Indicate case type or identify	the most important issue in the c	220 (2010		casely to his air parties;			
4	a. andrease case type, or tochuly	2. Indicate clise type, or identify the most important issue in the case (selection) Civil				Fan	nily Law	
	A		,,	D 10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Post-judgment Actions	
	Debt/Contract	Injury or Damage ☐Assault/Battery	ПЕт	Real Property	Marriage Rela	tionship	(non-Title IV-D)	
	□Consumer/DTPA	☐ Construction	C₀	ndemnation	Declare Marr	iage Void	Modification—Custody	
	☐Debt/Contract ☐Fraud/Misrepresentation	☐Defamation Malpractice		tition iet Title	Divorce With Child	iren	Modification—Other Title IV-D	
	Other Debt/Contract:	Accounting	Tre	espass to Try Title	☐No Childre		☐ Enforcement/Modification	
	Foreclosure	Legal Medical	∐Oti	ner Property:			☐ Paternity☐ Reciprocals (UIFSA)	
	☐Home Equity—Expedited	Other Professional					Support Order	
	☐Other Foreclosure ☐Franchise	Liability: Negoti 5n	R	elated to Criminal				
	Insurance	☐ Motor Vehicle Accident		Matters	Other Fami		Parent-Child Relationship	
	☐ Landlord/Tenant ☐ Non-Competition	Premises Product Liability		punction Igment Nisi	☐Enforce Fore Judgment	ign	Adoption/Adoption with	
Î	☐ Partnership	Asbestos/Silica	□No	n-Disclosure	☐ Habeas Corp		Child Protection	
	Other Contract:	Other Product Liability		zure/Forfeiture it of Habeas Corpus—	□ Name Change □ Protective Ord □ Removal of D		☐ Child Support☐ Custody or Visitation	
	No.	List Product:		e-indictment			Gestational Parenting	
		Other Injury or Damage:	Otl	her:	of Minority		Grandparent Access Parentage/Paternity	
ŀ					Other:		Termination of Parental	
	Employment	Other				Rights		
	Discrimination			wyer Discipline			Other Parent-Child:	
	Retaliation Termination	Antitrust/Unfair Competition		rpetuate Testimony curities/Stock		84		
	Workers' Compensation	Code Violations	Пто	rtious Interference			≥ 20 € €	
١	Other Employment:	Foreign Judgment Intellectual Property	∐Ot1	her:		- 1	WEBB WEBB	
							C 303	
ı	Tax			Probate & M	Co		Z	
	☐Tax Appraisal ☐Tax Delinquency	Probate/Wills/Intestate Administ Dependent Administration			Guardianship—Ad Guardianship—Mi	ult /	大元 - 2元 2元 2元 - 2元 2元 - 2元 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
	Other Tax	☐Independent Administratio		Mental Health		DEGULL HE BIS I COURTS I COURT		
		Other Estate Proceedings		Other:	- 3			
	3. Indicate procedure or remed-	y, if applicable <i>(may select more th</i>				' (3 7 5 C		
	Appeal from Municipal or Ju-	stice Court Declara	gment	□Prej	dgment Rei	medy		
	☐ Arbitration-related ☐ Garnishment ☐ Interpleader				☐ Protective Order ☐ Receiver			
ŀ	☐Bill of Review ☐License				■ □ Sequestration			
	☐ Certiorari				□Tem	porary Restr	raining Order/Injunction	
- 1	Class Action	∥ ⊔Post-jud		Turnover				